**In vitro and in vivo efficacy of non-psychoactive cannabidiol in neuroblastoma**
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**ABSTRACT**

**Background** Neuroblastoma (NBL) is one of the most common solid cancers in children. Prognosis in advanced NBL is still poor despite aggressive multimodality therapy. Furthermore, survivors experience severe long-term multi-organ sequelae. Hence, the identification of new therapeutic strategies is of utmost importance. Cannabinoids and their derivatives have been used for years in folk medicine and later in the field of palliative care. Recently, they were found to show pharmacologic activity in cancer, including cytostatic, apoptotic, and antiangiogenic effects.

**Methods** We investigated, in vitro and in vivo, the anti-NBL effect of the most active compounds in Cannabis, Δ^9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol (CBD). We set out to experimentally determine the effects of those compounds on viability, invasiveness, cell cycle distribution, and programmed cell death in human NBL SK-N-SH cells.

**Results** Both compounds have antitumourigenic activity in vitro and impeded the growth of tumour xenografts in vivo. Of the two cannabinoids tested, CBD was the more active. Treatment with CBD reduced the viability and invasiveness of treated tumour cells in vitro and induced apoptosis (as demonstrated by morphology changes, sub-G1 cell accumulation, and annexin V assay). Moreover, CBD elicited an increase in activated caspase 3 in treated cells and tumour xenografts.

**Conclusions** Our results demonstrate the antitumourigenic action of CBD on NBL cells. Because CBD is a non-psychoactive cannabinoid that appears to be devoid of side effects, our results support its exploitation as an effective anticancer drug in the management of NBL.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Neuroblastoma (NBL) is the most frequent extracranial solid tumour in childhood. It accounts for approximately 8% of childhood cancers and is characterized by variable clinical behaviour, reflecting molecular differences in the tumour. Using current risk stratification criteria, approximately 40% of NBL tumours are classified as high-risk. Treatment for children with high-risk NBL involves multimodality therapy, including chemotherapy, autologous stem-cell transplantation, surgery, radiation therapy, and immunotherapy using differentiation therapy. Despite that aggressive approach, children with NBL have very poor outcomes, and the survivors experience serious side effects related to treatment toxicity. Hence, the need for new and less-toxic therapeutic strategies to treat the disease is urgent.

For millennia, *Cannabis sativa* has been used in folk medicine to alleviate pain, depression, amenorrhea, inflammation, epilepsy, and numerous other medical conditions.

---
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In cancer patients specifically, cannabinoids are well known to exert palliative effects; their best-established use is the inhibition of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting, but they are also applied for pain alleviation, appetite stimulation, and attenuation of wasting.

Recently, increasing evidence suggests that Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol (CBD), major components of Cannabis sativa, and synthetic cannabinoids and the endocannabinoid anandamide have antitumour activity. Many adult cancer types (lung cancer, glioma, thyroid cancer, lymphoma, skin cancer, pancreatic cancer, uterine cancer, and breast and prostate carcinoma) have been reported to be sensitive to the antiproliferative action of cannabinoids in a wide variety of experimental models, including cancer cell lines in culture, xenograft mouse models, and genetically engineered mice.

Cannabinoids act chiefly by activating the specific cannabinoid receptors CB₁ and CB₂. However, it is now well-established that these molecules also have effects that are CB receptor–independent; other receptors, such as vanilloid receptor 1 and the peroxisome proliferator–activated receptors, could be responsible for their action.

The mechanisms involved in the antitumour effects of cannabinoids include proliferation inhibition and growth arrest, induction of apoptosis, stimulation of autophagy, angiogenesis inhibition, and anti-metastatic effects. However, the antitumourigenic mechanism of action of CB₁ is as yet unknown.

At the molecular level, cannabinoids have been shown to trigger changes in various signalling pathways, including Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1, upregulation of stress-associated transcription factor p819,20, downregulation of matrix metalloproteinase 2, and vascular endothelial growth factor signalling. Nevertheless, studies exploring the putative antitumourigenic properties of cannabinoids in pediatric tumours are still limited, and the molecular mechanisms underlying the antitumourigenic effect are poorly understood. Recently published data demonstrated the antitumourigenic activity of cannabinoids—mainly THC and synthetic cannabinoids—on alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma and osteosarcoma by inducing apoptosis23 and triggering the endoplasmic reticular stress and autophagy process24.

Our study aimed to characterize both the in vitro and in vivo effects of cannabinoids on another pediatric tumour, neuroblastoma (NBL), and to unravel the mechanism responsible for those effects. Given our positive results, we suggest that non-THC cannabinoids such as CBD might provide a basis for the development of novel therapeutic strategies in high-risk NBL, without the typical psychotropic effects of THC and without the strong side effects associated with chemotherapeutic agents.

**METHODS**

**Cannabinoids**

Δ⁹-Tetrahydrocannabinol was supplied by Prof. Raphael Mechoulem, Institute for Drug Research, Medical Faculty, The Hebrew University, Ein Kerem Campus, Jerusalem, Israel. Cannabidiol was supplied by THC Pharm GmbH, Frankfurt, Germany.

**Cell Cultures**

The human neuroblastoma cell lines SK-N-SH and IMR-32 were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, U.S.A.) and the European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures (Salisbury, U.K.) respectively. The NUB-6 and LAN-1 cell lines were kindly provided by Dr. Shifra Ash, Schneider Children's Medical Center of Israel.

SK-N-SH cells were cultured in Eagle minimum essential medium (ATCC), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 100 U/mL penicillin–streptomycin (Gibco, Paisley, U.K.). IMR-32 cells were cultured in Eagle basal medium (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.) supplemented with 2 mmol/L glutamine, 1% non-essential amino acids, 10% FBS, and 100 U/mL penicillin–streptomycin. LAN-1 and NUB-6 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS and 100 U/mL penicillin–streptomycin. All the cell lines were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

**MTT Test**

An MTT assay (Biological Industries, Kibbutz Beit-Haemek, Israel) was used to evaluate the effect of CBD and THC on NBL cell viability. SK-N-SH, LAN-1, IMR-32, and NUB-6 cells (5 × 10⁶ cells/mL) were plated (200 µL) in triplicate in flat-bottom 96-well plates in the appropriate medium. The cells were allowed to adhere to the plate surface overnight and were then cultured with increasing doses of THC or CBD (0–50 µg/mL) for 24, 48, and 72 hours. Cell viability was then determined by MTT assay, which measures the reduction of MTT to formazan by the mitochondria of viable cells. Formazan was measured spectrophotometrically by absorption at 560 nm in a PowerWaveX plate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT, U.S.A.). All experiments were repeated at least 3 times. Cell morphologies were assessed daily by light microscopy.

**Microscopy Analysis**

One day before treatment, SK-N-SH cells were plated (1 × 10⁶ cells per 9-cm plate). After 48 hours of incubation with CBD (10 µg/mL), cell morphology changes were assessed by light microscopy (Olympus CKX41: Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

**Cell-Cycle Analysis**

One day before THC or CBD treatment, SK-N-SH cells were plated (1 × 10⁶ cells per 9-cm plate). After 24, 48, and 72 hours of treatment, the cells were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Biological Industries), detached using a solution of 0.1% trypsin (Biological Industries), and spun at 1100 rpm. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 250 µL cold PBS, and the cells were fixed overnight with 5 mL cold 75% ethanol (Sigma–Aldrich) and PBS at –20°C. The pellet was then washed twice with cold PBS (followed by centrifugation at 1100 rpm for 7 minutes). Distribution of the cells in G1, S, and G2/M phases of the cell cycle were monitored after nuclei had been stained with 50 µg/mL propidium iodide (Sigma–Aldrich) containing 125 U/mL protease-free RNase (Sigma–Aldrich) in 0.5% Triton (Bio-Lab, Jerusalem, Israel) and had been PBS-buffered for 30 minutes in the dark. The cells were analyzed using an Epics XL-MCL flow cytometer and the FlowJo software application (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, U.S.A.).
Apoptotic Cell Death

Annexin V Assay
One day beforecbd treatment (7.5 μg/mL and 10 μg/mL for 24 hours and 48 hours), cells were plated (1×10^6 cells per 9-cm plate). Cells treated with 1–10 μmol/L staurosporine (Sigma–Aldrich) for 24 hours served as a positive control; untreated cells served as a negative control. Treated cells, untreated cells, and positive control cells were harvested, and after the annexin V assay [human recombinant annexin V (APC conjugate, catalogue no. ALX–209–252; Enzo Life Sciences, Ann Arbor, MI, U.S.A.); annexin V binding buffer, no. 556454 (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.); and 7-aminoactinomycin D, no. 559925 (BD Pharmingen)] were analyzed using an Epics XL–MCL flow cytometer and the FlowJo software application.

Caspase Assay
One day beforecbd treatment (7.5 μg/mL and 10 μg/mL for 24 hours), cells were plated (1×10^6 cells per 9-cm plate). Cells were harvested, and proteins were extracted with radio-immunoprecipitation assay buffer (Sigma–Aldrich). Protein concentrations were calibrated using the BCA Protein Assay Reagent Kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL, U.S.A.).

Samples were separated on 12% SDS–PAGE (Bio-Rad, Rishon LeZion, Israel) and transferred onto nitro filters (Schleicher and Schuell Bioscience, Dassel, Germany). The blots were reacted using caspase 3 (8G10) rabbit monoclonal antibody (Cell Signalling Technology, Danvers, MA, U.S.A.) as the primary antibody. The secondary antibody, horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Farmington, CT, U.S.A.), was detected by chemiluminescence. Signals were detected using an ECL Kit (Amersham Pharmacia, Little Chalfont, U.K.) and visualized by exposure to radiography film.

Invasion Assay
Tumour cell invasion was assayed in Transwell chambers (Transwell 3422: Corning, Corning, NY, U.S.A.) pre-coated with Cultrex Basement Membrane Extract (Trevigen, Gaithersburg, MD, U.S.A.). Membrane filters were placed in 24-well tissue-culture plates according to manufacturer guidelines. After 24 hours of treatment withcbd (15 μg/mL and 20 μg/mL), cells were harvested, and 2×10^5 cells suspended in 200 μL serum-free medium were added to the upper surface of each chamber. The bottom of the chamber was filled with 750 μL medium with 10% FBS. After 24 hours in which cells were allowed to migrate to the underside of the membrane, the invaded cells were fixed with paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, U.S.A.) and stained with crystal violet (Sigma–Aldrich).

In Vivo Studies
All experiments involving mice were approved and performed according to the guidelines issued by the Sheba Medical Center Research Committee for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (permit no. 803/12).

To study thein vivo antitumour activity of cannabinoids, nbl tumours were induced in nonobese diabetic immunodeficient (nod/scid) mice by subcutaneous injection. Briefly, 1×10^7 SK-N-SH cells suspended in 100 μL serum-free medium and Cultrex (1:1) were injected subcutaneously into the rear flank of 5– to 8-week-old nod/scid mice. Mice were maintained in a pathogen-free environment and monitored weekly for tumour growth. Secondary tumours were detected by palpation and were measured with external callipers. Volume was calculated as (width)^2 × (length) × 0.52. When tumours had reached an average size of 400 mm^3, the mice were randomly assigned to treatment and control groups (each n = 12). They were then injected intraperitoneally for 14 days withthc (20 mg/kg daily),cbd (20 mg/kg daily), or vehicle (ethanol) or were left untreated. At the end of the treatment period, the mice were euthanized, and the tumours were excised and processed for further analyses.

Histology
Formalin-fixed tissues were dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 4 μm. The slides were warmed to 60°C for 1 hour and then processed by a fully automated protocol. Immunostainings were calibrated on a Benchmark XT staining module (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, U.S.A.). Briefly, after sections had been dewaxed and rehydrated, a CCI Standard Benchmark XT pre-treatment for antigen retrieval (Ventana Medical Systems) was selected for active caspase 3. Active caspase 3 antibody (Epitomics, Burlingame, CA, U.S.A.) was diluted 1:10 with Antibody Diluent (Ventana Medical Systems) and incubated for 1 hour at 37°C. Detection was performed using an ultraView detection kit (Ventana Medical Systems) and counterstained with hematoxylin (Ventana Medical Systems). After the run on the automated stainer was completed, the slides were dehydrated in 70% ethanol, 95% ethanol, and 100% ethanol (10 s each). Before cover-slipping, the sections were cleared in xylene (10 s) and mounted with Entellan (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, U.S.A.). The stained sections were reviewed under light microscopy and analyzed by a pathologist.

Statistical Analysis
Unless otherwise specified, results are shown as means or medians ± standard deviation. A Kruskal–Wallis test, followed by a post hoc Mann–Whitney test, was used to evaluate significant differences in the viability of cell lines, the growth rate of xenografts, and the counts of positive cleaved caspase 3 cells for the various treatment groups. A p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics software application (version 21: IBM, Armonk, NY, U.S.A.).

RESULTS
Viability of NBL Cell Lines In Vitro
We used anMTT assay to assess the effect ofthc andcbd on the viability of the SK-N-SH, NUB-6, IMR-32, and LAN-1 nbl cell lines [Figure 1(A)]. In vitro, after 24 hours of treatment,cbd andthchad already effectively reduced the viability of nbl cell lines in a dose- (0–50 μg/mL) and time-dependent manner, withcbd having the better effect. Better response to treatment was observed in the SK-N-SH and NUB-6 cell lines, as demonstrated by a 50% reduction in cell viability at lowercbd orthc concentrations (5 μg/mL and 15 μg/mL for
SK-N-SH and NUB-6 respectively, compared with >20 μg/mL for IMR-32 and LAN-1). The same trend was found, and even enhanced, after treatment with THC and CBD for 48 hours [Figure 1(A)]. More importantly, the response after treatment of SK-N-SH cells with CBD (10 μg/mL) was better than the response after treatment with the same concentration of THC [Figure 1(B), p = 0.0004 for 24 and 48 hours of treatment].

The foregoing data indicate that anti-nbl activity is better with CBD than with THC in all nbl cell lines tested. Because cell lines showed varying sensitivity to CBD, we chose the most sensitive SK-N-SH cell line to confirm the antiproliferative effect of CBD in further in vitro and in vivo experiments.

**Cell-Cycle Analysis**

We next studied the effect of 48 hours of treatment with increasing doses of CBD (5–20 μg/mL) on cell-cycle progression [Figure 2(A)]. During treatment with CBD (5 μg/mL), the percentage of SK-N-SH cells sequestered in the G1 compartment rose to 82.4% from 65.8% in untreated control cells [Figure 2(B)]. Accordingly, the percentages of cells in G2 and S phase were found to be decreased, indicating that those cell populations had undergone G1 phase arrest (similar results were obtained when NUB-6 cells were treated with 10 μg/mL CBD; data not shown). Furthermore, an accumulation of SK-N-SH cells in sub-G1 phase [Figure 2(B)] was detected when that line was incubated with 10 μg/mL CBD (4.27%) and 20 μg/mL CBD (25.3%), indicating the possibility that treatment with CBD induced apoptosis in a dose-dependent manner.

**Apoptotic Cell Death**

To verify our hypothesis that the reduction in NBL cell viability associated with CBD treatment was indeed attributable to apoptotic cell death, we first examined morphology changes after CBD treatment. Microscopic analysis showed that treatment with 10 μg/mL CBD affected cell morphology; the number of cells that had lost their normal shape, becoming rounded and swollen, and that floated in the medium increased [Figure 3(A)]. Those results confirmed that CBD treatment might induce the appearance of typical features of apoptosis.

**FIGURE 1** Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol (CBD) reduce viability of neuroblastoma (NB) cell lines in vitro, with CBD having a better effect. (A) Cell lines SK-N-SH (open squares), NUB-6 (open circles), IMR-32 (open triangles), and LAN-1 (crosses) were incubated with increasing concentrations (0–50 μg/mL) of THC or CBD for 24 hours and 48 hours. Viability was measured by MTT assay. (B) Mean ± standard deviation of SK-N-SH cell viability after incubation with 10 μg/mL THC or CBD for 24 and 48 hours. *** Denotes a significant change relative to control (p = 0.0004). Data are expressed as a percentage of the vehicle control and are the mean of pooled results from experiments performed in triplicate.

**FIGURE 2** Alteration of SK-N-SH cell cycle progression induced by cannabidiol (CBD). (A) Cell cycle analysis in untreated SK-N-SH cells and in cells treated with increasing concentrations of CBD for 48 hours. (B) Change in cell accumulation percentages during cell cycle progression after incubation with CBD for 48 hours. UT = untreated.
Next, we used an annexin V assay to measure the percentage of cells undergoing apoptosis after \textit{cbd} treatment [Figure 3(B)]. Staurosporine-treated cells were used as a positive control. Treatment of SK-N-SH cells with \textit{cbd} (7.5 μg/mL and 10 μg/mL) for 24 hours and for 48 hours resulted in an increase in the early apoptotic cell population (annexin V–positive and 7-aminoactinomycin D–negative) in a time-dependent manner. A dose- and time-dependent increase in the late apoptotic cell population was also demonstrated (annexin V–positive and 7-aminoactinomycin D–positive). Early apoptosis was demonstrated in 24% of cells incubated for 24 hours with \textit{cbd} (7.5 μg/mL), but in only 0.7% of untreated cells. As Figure 3 shows, the proportion of late apoptotic cells increased to 63% from 35% after 24 hours of treatment with increasing concentrations of \textit{cbd} (10 μg/mL and 7.5 μg/mL respectively).

Finally, to further confirm the apoptotic effects of \textit{cbd} on SK-N-SH cells, we measured apoptosis by caspase 3 assay [Figure 3(C)]. After 24 hours of treatment with increasing doses of \textit{cbd} (7.5 μg/mL and 10 μg/mL), a dose-dependent cleavage of caspase 3 was found as evaluated by the appearance of activated p17 and p19 fragments on Western blot analysis.

Altogether, the foregoing results confirm that treatment with \textit{cbd} induces apoptosis in the SK-N-SH \textit{nbl} cell line.

### Cell Invasiveness

As shown in Figure 4, cell invasion in Transwell chambers was dramatically decreased for SK-N-SH \textit{nbl} cells treated for 24 hours with \textit{cbd} (15 μg/mL and 20 μg/mL) than for untreated cells.

### Tumour Growth Rate in Mouse Xenograft Model

Because tumour regression in an animal xenograft model represents an important endpoint of clinical relevance, we evaluated the ability of cannabinoids to reduce \textit{nbl} tumour growth \textit{in vivo}. Tumour xenografts were first generated by subcutaneous injection of SK-N-SH cells into \textit{NOD/scid} mice. The mice were then treated with daily intraperitoneal injections of 20 mg/kg \textit{thc}, 20 mg/kg \textit{cbd}, or ethanol vehicle (control), or were left untreated for 14 days.

Tumour growth was significantly reduced in \textit{thc}- and \textit{cbd}-treated mice than in the vehicle-treated or untreated mice [Figure 5(A)]. Interestingly, response to treatment was observed to be better in the group treated with \textit{cbd} than in the group treated with \textit{thc}. Median xenograft volume at the end of treatment was 2.31 cm$^3$ in the \textit{cbd}-treated group compared with 4.28 cm$^3$ in the untreated group ($p = 0.029$) and 4.31 cm$^3$ in the vehicle-treated group ($p = 0.036$). In the \textit{thc}-treated group, median volume was 3.46 cm$^3$, which was significant only compared with the untreated group ($p = 0.039$).

---

**FIGURE 3** Apoptotic effects of cannabidiol (CBD) on SK-N-SH cells. (A) Changes in SK-N-SH cell morphology: untreated cells compared with cells treated with 10 μg/mL CBD for 48 hours. (B) Apoptotic effects of CBD on SK-N-SH cells analyzed by annexin-V assay. Cells were treated with CBD in a dose- and time-dependent manner (7.5 μg/mL, 10 μg/mL; 24 hours, 48 hours) and were stained with annexin-V and 7-aminoactinomycin D (7AAD). Q1 = percentage of dead cells; Q2 = percentage of cells in late apoptosis; Q3 = percentage of cells in early apoptosis; Q4 = percentage of live cells. (C) Apoptotic effects of CBD on SK-N-SH cells analyzed by caspase-3 assay. Cells were treated with increasing doses of CBD (7.5 μg/mL, 10 μg/mL) for 24 hours.
To further define the in vivo effect of cbn treatment with respect to apoptosis induction, we analyzed tissue obtained from tumour xenografts. Tumours were excised after the last day of treatment, and paraffin-embedded sections were analyzed immunohistochemically with the apoptosis indicator cleaved caspase 3. Cells positive for cleaved caspase 3 were detected with significantly greater frequency in sections of xenografts from cbn-treated mice [Figure 5(B)] than in sections from ethanol-treated mice \( p < 0.001 \), Figure 5(C)].

To summarize, thc and cbn both suppressed the SK-N-SH tumour xenograft growth rate, with cbn treatment demonstrating a better effect. Moreover, the better efficacy of cbn and its effect on the induction of activated caspase 3 are consistent with the results obtained in vitro.

DISCUSSION

In recent years, interest in the role of cannabinoids, mainly thc, in cancer therapy has been renewed because of the ability of these molecules to limit tumour cell proliferation and to induce selective cell death\(^5,6,30\). The response to treatment with cannabinoids has been investigated and demonstrated in a wide variety of adult tumours\(^30\); however, the effect has been studied in only a few paediatric tumours\(^23,24\). We therefore investigated the role of cannabinoids in a pediatric tumour, nbl, which is the most frequent extracranial solid tumour of childhood and which still carries a very poor prognosis\(^1\).

We focused only on the major compounds in cannabis, thc and cbn. The results obtained in the in vitro studies can be summarized as follows:

- Both molecules—and cbn in particular—reduced the viability of nbl cells.
- The effect of cbn seemed to be mediated by apoptotic cell death, as demonstrated by morphology changes, accumulation of sub-G1 cells, annexin V assay, and increased expression of cleaved caspase 3.
- The invasiveness of nbl cells was also reduced with cbn treatment.

Based on that first set of results, we studied the effect of cbn and thc on xenograft tumours generated in nod/scid mice from SK-N-SH cells that had already demonstrated the greatest sensitivity to the effect of those molecules. In accord with the findings from the in vitro experiments, thc and cbn both reduced the xenograft growth rate, with cbn showing a superior effect.
Our *in vitro* data suggesting that CBD inhibits the proliferation of, and induces apoptosis in, NBL cells—together with its remarkable effect on NBL xenografts—are, to the best of our knowledge, the first to show an antitumour effect of CBD on NBL cells. Moreover, the results obtained in our study indicate that, of the two cannabinoids tested, CBD was more effective on the SK-N-SH cell line and on xenografts than was the more-studied THC. Those results accord with recently emerging data showing an effect of CBD on other tumours such as glioblastoma and breast, lung, prostate, and colon cancer.\(^{18,31–34}\)

\(\Delta^2\)-Tetrahydrocannabinol, the second most abundant cannabinoid in *Cannabis sativa*, has been shown to induce apoptosis and to inhibit tumour cell viability and invasiveness in various tumours,\(^{34–38}\) as our study also demonstrated. Recently, CBD was also reported to enhance the production of reactive oxygen species in cancer cells,\(^{39}\) to downregulate the metastatic factor Id1, and to upregulate the pro-differentiation factor Id2.\(^{16,40}\)

The mechanism by which CBD produces the observed effects has not yet been completely clarified, but seems to be independent of the CB1 and CB2 receptors. Various studies have demonstrated that CBD acts as an agonist for vanilloid receptor 1 and for the TRPV2, TRPA1, PPAR, and 5-HT\(_1\)A receptors, and as an antagonist for the TRPM8 and GPR55 receptors.\(^{41}\) However, CBD’s antitumourigenic molecular mechanisms of action have not been studied in NBL. A hint can be found in several reports showing that various NBL cell lines express the foregoing receptors shown to be involved in the action of CBD.\(^{42–44}\) Several studies have demonstrated that activation of those receptors with agonists other than CBD mediates cell death in a variety of NBL cell lines, including SK-N-SH\(^{45,46}\), the CBD-responsive cell line in our study.

As a potential therapeutic agent, CBD could have many advantages, especially compared with psychoactive THC. Because most—if not all—of the psychoactive effects of cannabinoids are produced by activation of the central CB1 receptors, CBD, which has been shown to act independently of CB1, is devoid of psychoactive effects,\(^{48}\) and can serve as a more suitable treatment, especially in children. Additionally, it shares the palliative properties and low toxicity profile described for other cannabinoids, has none of the strong side effects associated with chemotherapeutic agents,\(^{40,48,49}\) and might have synergistic activity with well-established antineoplastic substances.\(^{40,50}\)

The most widely used route of cannabinoid administration is smoking—an unattractive clinical option, particularly in children. Our work indicates that systemic (intraperitoneal) administration of CBD effectively reduces tumour growth, and use in a clinical setting can therefore be based on other routes of administration, such as in oral or oromucosal treatments.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our findings about the activity of CBD in NBL support and extend previous findings about the antitumour activities of CBD in other tumours and suggest that cannabis extracts enriched in CBD and not in THC could be suitable for the development of novel non-psychotropic therapeutic strategies in NBL. Use of CBD either as single agent or in combination with existing compounds and chemotherapy agents is a possibility. Combination therapy might improve the antitumourigenic effects of other treatments and allow for a reduction in the chemotherapy dose, minimizing toxicity and long-term sequelae. Future studies are needed to highlight the pathways involved in the antitumourigenic effects of CBD in NBL as demonstrated in the present work.
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