Cannabinoids mediate opposing effects on inflammation-induced intestinal permeability
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BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE
Activation of cannabinoid receptors decreases emesis, inflammation, gastric acid secretion and intestinal motility. The ability to modulate intestinal permeability in inflammation may be important in therapy aimed at maintaining epithelial barrier integrity. The aim of the present study was to determine whether cannabinoids modulate the increased permeability associated with inflammation in vitro.

EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH
Confluent Caco-2 cell monolayers were treated for 24 h with IFNγ and TNFα (10 ng·mL⁻¹). Monolayer permeability was measured using transepithelial electrical resistance and flux measurements. Cannabinoids were applied either apically or basolaterally after inflammation was established. Potential mechanisms of action were investigated using antagonists for CB1, CB2, TRPV1, PPARγ and PPARα. A role for the endocannabinoid system was established using inhibitors of the synthesis and degradation of endocannabinoids.

KEY RESULTS
Δ⁹-Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol accelerated the recovery from cytokine-induced increased permeability; an effect sensitive to CB1 receptor antagonism. Anandamide and 2-arachidonylglycerol further increased permeability in the presence of cytokines; this effect was also sensitive to CB1 antagonism. No role for the CB2 receptor was identified in these studies. Co-application of THC, cannabidiol or a CB1 antagonist with the cytokines ameliorated their effect on permeability. Inhibiting the breakdown of endocannabinoids worsened, whereas inhibiting the synthesis of endocannabinoids attenuated, the increased permeability associated with inflammation.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS
These findings suggest that locally produced endocannabinoids, acting via CB1 receptors play a role in mediating changes in permeability with inflammation, and that phytocannabinoids have therapeutic potential for reversing the disordered intestinal permeability associated with inflammation.

LINKED ARTICLES
This article is part of a themed section on Cannabinoids in Biology and Medicine. To view the other articles in this section visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bph.2012.165.issue-8. To view Part I of Cannabinoids in Biology and Medicine visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bph.2011.163.issue-7
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Introduction

The pathogenesis of many intestinal disorders involves interactions between alterations in intestinal permeability and luminal exogenous agents, such as bacteria, toxins and foreign antigens, as well as secretory products of the mucosa itself, such as cytokines and growth factors (Madara and Pappenheimer, 1987; Hecht et al., 1992; Ma et al., 2004; Poritz et al., 2004). It is widely believed that the intestinal barrier becomes dysfunctional in certain disease states, potentially exposing the organism to lethal risk by permitting toxic material to enter the portal venous and lymphatic systems, and thus threaten the organism as a whole (Morehouse et al., 1986; Unno and Fink, 1998; Ammori et al., 1999). Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is accompanied by impaired epithelial barrier function in the small and large intestine (Gassler et al., 2001; Bruewer et al., 2006; Amasheh et al., 2009). This has two consequences; firstly contributing to diarrhoea by a leak flux mechanism, and secondly, perpetuating inflammation through increased luminal antigen and macromolecular uptake.

For many centuries, the plant Cannabis sativa has been used to treat various disorders of the gastrointestinal tract, such as vomiting, anorexia, abdominal pain, gastroenteritis, diarrhoea, intestinal inflammation and diabetic gastroparesis (Coutts and Izzo, 2004; Duncan et al., 2005; Sanger, 2007; Izzo and Camilleri, 2008). The presence of a functional endocannabinoid system has been identified in the gut. CB1 receptors are expressed in the gastrointestinal tract of many species, including rats, guinea-pigs and humans (Croci et al., 1998; Kulkarni-Narla and Brown, 2000; Coutts et al., 2002; Casu et al., 2003). Immunohistochemical studies indicate that the enteric nervous system is the main site of CB1 receptor expression and could be the main site of action for cannabinoids in the gastrointestinal tract (Coutts et al., 2002). In human colonic tissue, CB1 receptors are expressed in the epithelium, smooth muscle and the submucosal myenteric plexus (Wright et al., 2005). The CB1 receptor has been detected in rat peritoneal mast cells (Facci et al., 1995) and enteric neurons (Duncan et al., 2008). In human colonic tissue, CB1 is expressed in plasma cells and the lamina propria (Wright et al., 2005), and in the epithelium of colonic tissue characteristic of IBD (Wright et al., 2005; Izzo, 2007).

Recent studies have confirmed that the endocannabinoid system becomes activated during inflammatory conditions, both in animal models and in tissue samples from patients suffering from inflammatory disorders. In an experimental model of colitis, D’Argenio et al. found that the levels of the endogenously produced cannabinoids, anandamide (AEA), but not 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), were significantly increased (D’Argenio et al., 2006). AEA levels are also increased in colon biopsies from patients with ulcerative colitis (D’Argenio et al., 2006), small bowel samples from patients with diverticular disease (Guagnini et al., 2006) and from individuals in the atrophic phase of coeliac disease (D’Argenio et al., 2007). During croton oil induced inflammation in murine small bowel, the expression of CB1 receptors and fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH), a membrane protein that metabolises AEA, are enhanced, and CB1 activation inhibits motility (Izzo et al., 2001). Colonic CB1 receptor expression has also been shown to be up-regulated in a murine colitis model, and genetic or pharmacological blockage of CB1 receptors worsens epithelial damage (Massa et al., 2004). However, pharmacological inhibition of the CB1 receptor has also been shown to inhibit ulcer formation and plasma TNF levels in an indomethacin-induced model of small intestinal inflammation (Croci et al., 2003). CB2 receptor expression is also increased in human intestinal epithelium in IBD (Wright et al., 2005; 2008). The role of CB2 receptors in inflammation is supported by the inhibition of TNFα-induced IL-8 release by CB2 receptor antagonists in human colonic epithelial cells (Ihenetu et al., 2003). CB2 receptor agonists have also been shown to offset LPS-induced inflammation in rats through COX-derived products (Mathison et al., 2004).

The ability to modulate intestinal permeability during the inflammatory process may be important in devising future therapeutic strategies to restore a ‘leaky’ tight junction paracellular barrier. Given the beneficial effects of cannabinoids in inflammatory conditions in the gut, and our recent findings that cannabinoids are capable of modulating intestinal permeability altered with EDTA (Alhamoruni et al., 2010), the aim of the present study was to determine whether cannabinoids modulate increased permeability associated with inflammation. To do this, carcinoma colon cell line (Caco-2) monolayers were used as an in vitro intestinal epithelial model system, and inflammatory conditions were mimicked by the co-application of the pro-inflammatory mediators IFNγ and TNFα. We found that endocannabinoids further worsen the increased permeability associated with cytokine application to Caco-2 cells, while phytocannabinoids or CB1 receptor antagonism sped the recovery of permeability in inflammatory conditions. Inhibition of endocannabinoid degradation worsened the effects of inflammation on intestinal permeability, and inhibition of endocannabinoid synthesis ameliorated the increased permeability associated with inflammation. Our data suggest that locally produced endocannabinoids, acting via the CB1 receptor, play a role in mediating changes in permeability associated with inflammation.

Methods

The nomenclature for drugs and for their molecular targets conforms to BJP’s Guide to Receptors and Channels (Alexander et al., 2011).
Cell culture
Caco-2 cells (ECACC, Wiltshire, UK, passages 56–72) were cultured in Minimum Essential Medium Eagle supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% L-glutamine and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Cells were kept at 37°C in 5% CO₂ and 95% humidity. Cells were grown in 12-well plates and seeded at 50,000 cells per insert on 12 mm diameter, 0.4 µm pore polycarbonate membrane inserts. Cells were grown for a minimum of 14 days and used for experimentation between days 14 and 21, when each insert had a transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) value greater than 1000 Ω cm².

TEER measurement
The TEER measurement was used to evaluate the paracellular permeability of cell monolayers (Madara et al., 1988). The TEER of the monolayer was determined using an EVOM™ voltohmeter (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL, USA) according to the methods of Wells and colleagues (Wells et al., 1998).

Inflammatory protocol
Initial TEER readings were made before the addition of 10 ng·mL⁻¹ IFNγ (basolateral compartment). After 8 h, TEER was measured again, and 10 ng·mL⁻¹TNFα was added for another 16 h. TEER was measured again after a total of 24 h incubation with the cytokines, which caused an average fall in TEER of 20–25%, representing increased epithelial permeability.

Permeability studies
Intestinal permeability to fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-dextran molecular mass 4 kDa (FD4), a tracer for the paracellular pathway, was evaluated by measuring the flux of FD4 across cell monolayers. Cannabinoids [cannabidiol (CBD), 1 µM], AM251 (100 nM) and methandamide, mAEA 100 Nm] were applied apically either concomitant with the cytokines (0 h) or following the inflammatory protocol (24 h), for a further 6 h. Cell layers (30 h) were then washed with HBSS/20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) and left for 30 min at 37°C to equilibrate. FD4 (3 mg·mL⁻¹) was applied apically and 100 µL aliquots were collected from the basolateral side of each insert after 30 min and 1 h. FD4 levels in the medium were measured using a fluorescence microplate reader at an excitation wavelength of 490 nm and emission wavelength of 520 nm (VICTOR, Perkin Elmer, USA). FD4 flux was calculated as the average fluorescence value of two samples taken from the basolateral compartment.

Cell viability (MTS) and membrane integrity (lactate dehydrogenase release) assays
To show that the effect of cytokine application was not due to cellular damage and changes in transcellular permeability, we performed MTS (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) assays (Bio Vision, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, on Caco-2 treated with 10 ng·mL⁻¹ IFNγ and 10 ng·mL⁻¹ TNFα for up to 72 h.

Effects of cannabinoids on Caco-2 cell monolayer integrity (apical application)
Fresh medium, with or without cannabinoids [Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), CBD, AEA or 2-AG (all 10 µM)], was applied apically to plates where inflammation had been established (i.e. after 24 h). Vehicle (0.1% ethanol) was applied to control wells. TEER values were measured every 1 h for the next 8 h, and then again 48 and 72 h after cannabinoid administration. Our initial experiments showed that a single dose of THC or CBD (10 µM) ameliorated the fall in TEER caused by cytokotés, while a single dose of AEA or 2-AG (10 µM) worsened this (see Figure 1). Therefore, we proceeded to perform concentration–response curves to THC, CBD, AEA and 2-AG by adding increasing concentrations of each drug to inserts. TEER values were monitored at all time points as described above.

In some experiments, 10 µM of either THC or CBD was applied at the apical compartment at 0 h (i.e. at the same time as the cytokines) or 48 h after cytokine application. TEER values were measured as above.

Effects of enzyme inhibitors on increased permeability induced by cytokines
To establish the role of the FAAH enzyme on the AEA effect on intestinal permeability, AEA (10 µM) was applied to the apical side of inserts in the absence or presence of an FAAH inhibitor 24 h after inflammation was established (URB597, 1 µM). Similarly, 2-AG (10 µM) was applied to the apical side of inserts either alone or together with a monoacylglycerol lipase (MGL) inhibitor (JZL 184, 1 µM). In both experiments, the vehicle [ethanol and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)] was applied to control wells. TEER values were measured hourly for the next 8 h, and then again at 48, and 72 h after cannabinoid administration.

In some experiments, 1 µM of URB597 or JZL 184, alone or together with CB, antagonist AM251 (100 nM), were applied at the apical compartment at the same time as the cytokines.
Orlistat (1 μM), a 2-AG synthesis inhibitor, alone or together with CB1 antagonist (AM251, 100 nM) was applied at the apical compartment at the same time as cytokine application.

Chemicals and reagents
All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, UK) unless otherwise stated. IFNγ and TNFα were purchased from Invitrogen (Paisley, UK), and further dilutions in BSA stored at −80°C for IFNγ and −20°C for TNFα. All cannabinoids and antagonists were purchased from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, UK) except THC and capsazepine, which were obtained from Sigma UK. CBD, THC, capsazepine, AEA and 2-AG were dissolved in ethanol to a stock concentration of 10 mM with further dilutions made in distilled water. GW9662, AM251 and AM630 were dissolved in DMSO to 10 mM, with further dilutions made in distilled water. URB597, JZL 184 and Orlistat were dissolved in DMSO to 10 mM, with further dilutions made in fresh media.

Statistical analysis
In each protocol, values are expressed as mean ± SEM. Area under the curve (AUC) values were calculated using GraphPad Prism 5 software using the trapezoidal method. Data were compared, as appropriate, by Student’s t-test or by ANOVA with statistical significance between manipulations and controls determined by Dunnett’s post hoc test.

Results
Cytokines increased permeability without affecting cell viability or membrane integrity
Combined application of IFNγ and TNFα (10 ng/mL) in Caco-2 cells caused a reversible decrease in TEER (i.e. increased permeability) over the 72 h measurement period. Application of IFNγ and TNFα to Caco-2 cells did not affect the Caco-2 cell mitochondrial activity at any point over the 72 h experimental period compared with the vehicle group,
as indicated by the MTS assay (OD at 72 h; vehicle 0.54 ± 0.03, cytokine application, 0.52 ± 0.01, n = 4). The total LDH release from Caco-2 cells treated with cytokines was also not significantly different to vehicle at any point over the 72 h experimental period (OD at 72 h; vehicle 0.22 ± 0.01, cytokine application, 0.11 ± 0.01, n = 4).

Apical application of phytocannabinoids recovers cytokine-induced increased permeability

Twenty-four hours after exposure to IFNγ and TNFα, apical application of either THC or CBD (10 μM) accelerated the recovery of TEER values (see Figure 1A), and the total response over time (AUC) was significantly different to vehicle controls for both THC and CBD (P < 0.01, Figure 1B). Further experiments showed that the ability of THC and CBD to speed the recovery of TEER values after 24 h cytokine application was concentration-dependent (see Figure 2 and Table 1). When a sigmoidal concentration–response curve was plotted with the AUC data presented in Table 1, the logEC50 of THC and CBD were -6.03 and -5.68, respectively.

Apical application of endocannabinoids further increases permeability after cytokine application

Twenty-four hours after exposure to IFNγ and TNFα, apical application of endocannabinoids (10 μM of either AEA or 2-AG) caused a further and sustained drop in TEER in addition to the effects of cytokines (P < 0.05, Figure 1C and D). Further experiments showed that this effect was concentration-dependent (see Figure 2 and Table 1). When a sigmoidal concentration–response curve was plotted with the AUC data presented in Table 1, the logEC50 of AEA and 2-AG were -3.95 and -3.78, respectively.

The effects of both phytocannabinoids and endocannabinoids are CB1 mediated

The effects of THC and CBD were only significantly inhibited by the cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonist, AM251. Similarly, the effects of the endocannabinoids AEA and 2-AG were also only sensitive to AM251 (Figure 3 and Table 2).

Basolateral application of cannabinoids and permeability after cytokine application

When applied to the basolateral membrane after cytokine application, neither THC, CBD, AEA or 2-AG had any significant effect on TEER (data not shown).

Phytocannabinoids prevented increased permeability associated with cytokine application

When inserts were treated with cytokines (basolateral) and THC or CBD (apical) at the same time (0 h), THC and CBD
(10 μM) completely inhibited the fall in TEER caused by the cytokines (see Figure 4A). However, when THC or CBD were applied 48 h after cytokine application, they had no effect on the response to these cytokines (Figure 4B).

**CB₁ antagonism reduces the increased permeability associated with cytokines**

To determine whether the effect of cytokines can be prevented by cannabinoid receptor antagonism, AM251 or AM630 (both 100 nM, apical application) were added at the same time as cytokine application (0 h) or after cytokine-induced increases in TEER were induced (24 h). When applied at time 0, AM251 significantly reduced the fall in TEER caused by cytokines. However, when AM251 was applied after 24 h, there was no effect of this compound (Figure 5A). AM630 did not affect TEER values when co-applied with cytokines, or when applied after inflammation was induced (Figure 5B), indicating no role for CB₂ receptor activation.

**Table 1**

Area under the curve values (%·min⁻¹) for the concentration–responses to cannabinoids on TEER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>THC</th>
<th>CBD</th>
<th>AEA</th>
<th>2-AG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>1062 ± 96</td>
<td>1327 ± 210</td>
<td>1330 ± 162</td>
<td>1018 ± 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 nM</td>
<td>1097 ± 113</td>
<td>1192 ± 92</td>
<td>1258 ± 41</td>
<td>1059 ± 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 nM</td>
<td>868 ± 67</td>
<td>1134 ± 81</td>
<td>1353 ± 73</td>
<td>985 ± 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 μM</td>
<td>726 ± 168</td>
<td>843 ± 40 *</td>
<td>1663 ± 132</td>
<td>1224 ± 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 μM</td>
<td>519 ± 130 **</td>
<td>665 ± 177 **</td>
<td>1671 ± 76</td>
<td>1265 ± 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 μM</td>
<td>315 ± 20 ***</td>
<td>336 ± 14 ***</td>
<td>1763 ± 84 *</td>
<td>1622 ± 103 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 μM</td>
<td>226 ± 12 ***</td>
<td>263 ± 49 ***</td>
<td>2519 ± 65 ***</td>
<td>2694 ± 129 ***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are given as means with error bars representing SEM. Significant difference between vehicle and drug responses, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ANOVA with Dunnett’s *post hoc* test.
Table 2
Area under the curve values (%·min⁻¹) for the effects of cannabinoids on TEER in the presence of various receptor antagonists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THC</th>
<th>CBD</th>
<th>AEA</th>
<th>2-AG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>1442 ± 334</td>
<td>1386 ± 247</td>
<td>1232 ± 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabinoid (10 μM)</td>
<td>531 ± 85**</td>
<td>555.5 ± 62***</td>
<td>1886 ± 62**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; AM251</td>
<td>1152 ± 157</td>
<td>1351 ± 30</td>
<td>1112 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; AM630</td>
<td>513 ± 50**</td>
<td>519 ± 4***</td>
<td>1787 ± 77**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; GW9662</td>
<td>477 ± 69***</td>
<td>531 ± 4***</td>
<td>1834 ± 121**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; GW6471</td>
<td>519 ± 50**</td>
<td>586 ± 5**</td>
<td>1772 ± 163**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; Capsazepine</td>
<td>499 ± 25**</td>
<td>579 ± 55***</td>
<td>1784 ± 156**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; O-1918</td>
<td>491 ± 39**</td>
<td>547 ± 28***</td>
<td>1749 ± 71*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as means with error bars representing SEM. Significant difference between vehicle and drug responses, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ANOVA with Dunnett’s post hoc test.

Figure 4
The effect of phytocannabinoids (THC and CBD, 10 μM) applied apically at time 0 h (A), or after 48 h (B) on the fall in TEER caused by cytokine application. Data are given as means with error bars representing SEM. (n = 3, *P < 0.01, **P < 0.001, ANOVA).

Figure 5
The effects of the CB₁ receptor antagonist, AM251 (100 nM, A) on TEER applied apically at the same time as (0 h), or 24 h after cytokine application. The effects of the CB₂ receptor antagonist, AM630 (100 nM, B) on TEER applied at the same time as (0 h), or 24 h after cytokine application. Data are given as means with error bars representing SEM. (n = 3, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ANOVA).
FAAH and MGL inhibition worsened endocannabinoids effects on increased permeability after cytokine application

URB597 alone caused no significant change in the recovery of TEER compared with the vehicle (see Figure 6A and B). As previously shown, AEA alone caused a significant drop in TEER in addition to the effects of cytokines compared with vehicle. However, application of URB597 together with AEA caused a significantly greater drop in TEER than AEA alone (Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test, Figure 6A and B). JZL 184 alone also caused no significant change in the recovery of TEER compared with vehicle. 2-AG alone caused a significant decrease in TEER as compared with vehicle group, and application of JZL 184 with 2-AG caused a significantly greater drop in TEER than 2-AG alone (Figure 6C and D).

To test the hypothesis that locally produced AEA and 2-AG partly mediates the increase in permeability caused by cytokines, and whether these effects, if any, are mediated by CB1 receptors, URB597 or JZL 184 (1 μM each) were applied apically at the same time as cytokine application either alone or with AM251 (100 nM). When applied at the same time as cytokines, URB597 alone caused a further drop in TEER (i.e. increased permeability) than cytokine application alone, and this effect was inhibited by AM251 (Figure 7A and B). Similarly, JZL 184 application led to a decrease in TEER, and this effect was also inhibited by AM251 (Figure 7C and D).

To further investigate the possible role of locally produced 2-AG on the TEER reduction caused by cytokines, Orlistat (1 μM), a 2-AG synthesis inhibitor was applied either alone or together with AM251 (100 nM). It was observed that Orlistat inhibited the drop in TEER caused by cytokines as compared with vehicle group (Figure 7E and F). This was not further affected by AM251.

FD4 flux was increased by cytokines and modulated by cannabinoids

To support our TEER data, we performed key experiments to measure the functional outcome of junctional change, that is, paracellular permeability, by using FITC-conjugated dextran (FD4) as a tracer. Cytokine application [interferon gamma and TNF alpha (IFN)] induced an increase of 22 ± 4%
Figure 7
The effect of endocannabinoid enzyme inhibitors (URB597, 1 μM, A; JZL 184, 1 μM, C; Orlistat, 1 μM, E) applied apically at the same time as cytokines, either alone or together with the CB₁ antagonist AM251 (100 nM) on the fall in TEER values caused by inflammatory cytokines. (B, D and F) Integrated response over time (area under curve). Data are given as means with error bars representing SEM. ($n=3$, *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$, compared with vehicle group; **$P<0.01$, ###$P<0.001$, compared with inhibitors alone, ANOVA).
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in permeability to FD4 when compared with basal flux (Figure 8A). CBD both reversed (IT + CBD) and prevented (CBD + IT) this increase, as previously observed in the TEER experiments. As before, the CB1 antagonist AM251 (100 nM) blocked the CBD effect on cytokine-induced FD4 flux.

In addition, reflecting the AEA effect on cytokine-induced TEER changes, mAEA (100 nM) further enhanced the increase in permeability to FD4 to 35.2 ± 3.1% (an enhancement of approximately 12%), which was also blocked by AM251.

AM251 (100 nM) was able to both partially inhibit and prevent the cytokine-induced increase in FD4 flux, whereas the CB2 receptor antagonist/inverse agonist, AM630, had no effect (see Figure 8B), again in support of the previous TEER data.

Discussion

Cannabinoids have been used to treat various disorders of the gastrointestinal tract, such as vomiting, anorexia, abdominal pain, gastroenteritis, diarrhoea, intestinal inflammation and diabetic gastroparesis (Coultts and Izzo, 2004 Duncan et al., 2005; Sanger, 2007; Izzo and Camilleri, 2008). Many of these digestive disorders are associated with acute or chronic inflammatory processes, and with alterations in intestinal permeability. Our data show that cannabinoids have the ability to both positively and negatively modulate permeability through the CB1 receptor. Specifically, endocannabinoids seem to be involved in the increase in permeability associated with the development of inflammation, while phytocannabinoids can inhibit or restore increased permeability after cytokine application.

In our model, basolateral application of 10 ng·mL⁻¹ IFNγ and TNFα led to increased permeability in confluent Caco-2 monolayers, as reflected by a fall in TEER of around 20%. In our study, the effect of cytokines was reversible, as TEER values normalized after washing. Furthermore, LDH levels in media after Caco-2 monolayers were treated with IFNγ and TNFα for 3 days were comparable to those within a non-treated control group. Cell proliferation was also not negatively affected by cytokine application in our study. This indicates that the effect of cytokine application on TEER was not due to cellular damage and changes in transepithelial permeability.

Our first main finding was that during inflammatory conditions, the phytocannabinoids THC and CBD both enhanced TEER recovery over time in a concentration-dependent fashion. Cannabinoids have previously been shown to reverse increases in permeability in other models. For example, in a co-culture of endothelial cells and astrocytes, CP55940 and ACEA, both synthetic CB1 receptor agonists, inhibited HIV-1-induced or substance P-induced decreases in epithelial permeability (Lu et al., 2008). Rajesh et al. (2007) also found that CBD attenuates the effects of high glucose-associated increased cellular permeability in human coronary endothelial cells. Furthermore, CBD treatment has been shown to significantly reduce vascular hyper-permeability in the diabetic retina (El-Remessy et al., 2006), improve type 1 diabetes-induced cardiac dysfunction and inflammation (Rajesh et al., 2010a) and attenuates TNFα signalling, inflammation and kidney dysfunction in a nephropathy model (Pan et al., 2009).

We found that the effects of THC and CBD in reversing the increase in permeability were sensitive to antagonism of the CB1 receptor, but not the CB2 receptor. We also examined a number of other potential sites of action at which cannabinoids are known to act, such as TRPV1 (see Di Marzo and De Petrocellis, 2010) and the PPAR nuclear receptors (see O’Sullivan, 2007), but did not find any contribution from
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The effect of cannabinoids on cytokine-induced FD4 flux. (A) CBD (1 µM) or mAEA (100 nM) was applied apically at time zero together with the cytokines (IFNγ and TNFα, 100 ng·mL⁻¹) or after 24 h of cytokine application (basal application). AM251 (100 nM) was applied apically with CBD or mAEA after 24 h cytokine application. (B) AM251 or AM630 (both at 100 nM) were applied apically either with cytokines at time zero or after 24 h of cytokine application. Data are given as means with error bars representing SEM. (n = 3, ***P < 0.001, as compared with vehicle group; **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, as compared with cytokine-treated group, ANOVA).
these target sites. Our TEER data were supported by FD4 flux data, demonstrating that CBD reversed increase flux associated with cytokines, and that this was inhibited by a CB1 receptor antagonist. The effect of THC and CBD on permeability is in agreement with our previous study showing phytocannabinoid-mediated changes in intestinal epithelial permeability and tight junction protein expression were brought about through activation of the CB1 receptor (Alhamoruni et al., 2010). It should be noted that received wisdom is that CBD is a poor/ineffective agonist at CB1 receptors (Pertwee, 2008). However, Capasso et al. (2008) and de Filippis et al. (2008) have similarly both shown that the effects of CBD in inhibiting hypermotility in mice were sensitive to CB1 antagonism, which might suggest that CBD antagonizes CB1, in the gut. However, another explanation for the effects of CBD in the present study could be that CBD is antagonizing CB2-mediated increases in permeability mediated by locally produced endocannabinoids.

Our data suggest that there may be a therapeutic role for THC or CBD in reversing abnormally increased permeability associated with intestinal inflammation. A prophylactic role was also suggested by our finding that applying THC or CBD at the same time as cytokines completely abolished their deleterious effects on permeability. Similarly, CBD could prevent the increased flux of FD4 if applied at the same time as cytokines. However, if applied 48 h after inflammation was established, the positive effects of phytocannabinoids were no longer observed, suggesting there is a therapeutic window for the use of these compounds in reversing increased permeability. However, this may be different in vivo, as the inflammatory insult may not be reversible as was the case in our current experiments.

Our second main finding was that the endocannabinoids AEA and 2-AG further increased Caco-2 permeability in addition to the effects of the cytokines, and that this effect was concentration-dependent and mediated by the CB1 receptor. This is in agreement with our previous work showing that endocannabinoid application to Caco-2 cells was associated with increased permeability (Alhamoruni et al., 2010). In another cell model, Wang and colleagues have also demonstrated that mAEA (a non-hydrolysable analogue of AEA) increased paracellular permeability in alveolar cells (Wang et al., 2003). We similarly showed in the present study that mAEA further increases the flux of FD4 in addition to the effects of cytokines, and that this effect is mediated by the CB1 receptor.

Several studies have demonstrated increased AEA levels in biopsies from untreated ulcerative colitis patients (D’Argenio et al., 2006), coeliac disease (D’Argenio et al., 2007) and diverticular disease (Guagnini et al., 2006). 2-AG also has been found to be elevated in samples from patients with active coeliac disease, with direct correlations observed between endocannabinoid levels and the most active disease manifestations (D’Argenio et al., 2007). It is therefore possible that overproduction of endocannabinoids plays a role in increased gut permeability in these conditions. We performed a series of experiments examining the potential role of the endocannabinoid system in changes in permeability associated with inflammation. In the first experiment, we showed that a CB1 receptor antagonist (but not a CB2 receptor antagonist) was able to limit the fall in TEER associated with cytokines, and that a CB1 receptor antagonist (but not a CB2 receptor antagonist) limited the increased FD4 flux associated with inflammatory conditions. This suggests that CB1 activation at least partially underlies increased permeability, and we have previously shown that both AEA and 2-AG change the expression of certain tight junction proteins via CB1 activation (Alhamoruni et al., 2010). In an experimental model of diabetic nephropathy in mice, CB2 receptors were found to be overexpressed within the glomeruli, and i.p. injection of AM251 for 14 weeks was found to ameliorate albuminuria by a restoration of the glomeruli junction complex (Barutta et al., 2010). Furthermore, in the small intestine, CB2 receptor antagonism has been shown to inhibit ulcer formation and plasma TNF levels in an indomethacin-induced model of small intestinal inflammation (Croci et al., 2003). CB2 activation is increasingly being shown to be pro-inflammatory in several conditions, including nephropathy (see Mukhopadhyay et al., 2010) and in endothelial and cardiac dysfunction (Rajesh et al., 2010b), supporting our suggestion that endocannabinoid-mediated activation of the CB2 receptor may play a role in mediating the effects of inflammation in our Caco-2 cell model.

In further experiments, we showed that inhibition of the enzymes that degrade either AEA or 2-AG in combination with AEA and 2-AG application caused a very large and irreversible increase in permeability (within our time frame), in addition to the effects of cytokines. More importantly, we also found that application of these enzyme inhibitors alone at the same time as cytokine application worsened the effect cytokines on cell permeability, and this could be antagonized by a CB1 receptor antagonist. This suggests that endocannabinoids may be produced by intestinal epithelial cells during inflammation, and that their activation of the CB1 receptor contributes to tight junction disruption and thus increased permeability. Interesting, the FAAH and MGL inhibitors only worsened the fall in permeability when they were applied at the same time as cytokines (Figure 7), and not when applied after inflammation had been established (Figure 8). This suggests that it is in the development of inflammation that endocannabinoid production may play a role in modulating permeability. It is of note that enhanced tissue inflammation has been observed in FAAH knockout mice in models of inflammation and tissue damage in the liver and cardiac tissue (Siegmund et al., 2006; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2011), again supporting our theory that under pathological conditions, endocannabinoid activation of CB1-dependent mechanisms may contribute to injury in inflammation.

Finally, we found that inhibiting 2-AG synthesis significantly reduced the increased permeability associated with cytokines, demonstrating a role for the local production of 2-AG during inflammation. Unfortunately, no commercially available inhibitor of AEA synthesis exists, so we were unable to test whether a similar reduction might be observed. However, taken together, our data strongly suggest that local release of endocannabinoids, acting via the CB1 receptor, and potentially via changes in tight junction proteins (Alhamoruni et al., 2010) underlie the changes in intestinal epithelial permeability associated with inflammation.

Finally, we did not find that basolateral application of either phytocannabinoids or endocannabinoids influenced the changes in permeability after cytokine application. These
findings may reflect differential expression of target sites of action for cannabinoids across epithelial cells in inflammatory conditions, and indicate that it is the apical (luminal) membrane that is more important in the regulation of permeability in these circumstances. In the light of our findings regarding the potential role for endocannabinoid release during inflammation causing changes in permeability, it also suggests that it is endocannabinoid production at the luminal membrane that may play a role.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates for the first time that cannabinoids are capable of modulating intestinal permeability in an in vitro model of inflammation. In particular, endocannabinoids caused further increases in Caco-2 cell permeability, whereas phytocannabinoids restored increased permeability induced by cytokines. The effects of cytokines on increased permeability were inhibited by a CB1 receptor antagonist and a 2-AG synthesis inhibitor, and were enhanced by inhibitors of the degradation of AEA or 2-AG, suggesting that local production of endocannabinoids activating CB1 may play a role in the modulation of gut permeability during inflammation. Our study also suggests that cannabis-based medicines may possess therapeutic benefit in inflammatory intestinal disorders associated with abnormal intestinal permeability.
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