Cannabinoids are broadly immunosuppressive, and anti-inflammatory properties have been reported for certain marijuana constituents and endogenously produced cannabinoids. The CB2 cannabinoid receptor is an established constituent of immune system cells, and we have recently established that the CB1 cannabinoid receptor is expressed in mast cells. In the present study, we sought to define a role for CB1 in mast cells and to identify the signalling pathways that may mediate the suppressive effects of CB1 ligation on mast cell activation. Our results show that CB1 and CB2 mediate diametrically opposed effects on cAMP levels in mast cells. The observed long-term stimulation of cAMP levels by the G\(\alpha_i/o\)-coupled CB1 is paradoxical, and our results indicate that it may be attributed to CB1-mediated transcriptional regulation of specific adenylate cyclase isoenzymes that exhibit superactivatable kinetics. Taken together, these results reveal the complexity in signalling of natively co-expressed cannabinoid receptors and suggest that some anti-inflammatory effects of CB1 ligands may be attributable to sustained cAMP elevation that, in turn, causes suppression of mast cell degranulation.

Key words: anti-inflammatory potential, cAMP, cannabinoids, immunosuppressive, mast cell, methanandamide.

INTRODUCTION

By virtue of their presence in the gastrointestinal and respiratory mucosa, mast cells are points of immunological contact for inhaled or ingested marijuana constituents [1–5]. Moreover, mast cells are sources of endo-cannabinoids, which are putative immunomodulators [6–9]. Cannabinoids are broadly immunosuppressive, and their potential as anti-inflammatory agents is supported by in vitro and in vivo studies. In vitro, marijuana constituents and endo-cannabinoids can suppress the release of mast cell pro-inflammatory mediators [10,11]. In vivo, the marijuana constituent tetrahydrocannabinol (\(\Delta^2\)-THC) is highly suppressive in models of mast cell pro-inflammatory function including passive cutaneous anaphylaxis and substance P/carageenan-induced hyperalgesia and oedema [7,12,13]. The mechanisms by which cannabinoids suppress mast cell activation have not been extensively explored.

CB2 cannabinoid receptors are well-established components of immune tissues, whereas a CNS (central nervous system)-restricted distribution has been proposed for CB1 [6,8,14]. However, an increasing amount of evidence suggests that CB1 is present in peripheral contexts, including immune system cells [10,12,15,16]. In the present study, in addition to CB2, we confirm the presence of the CB1 isoform in primary mast cells. Mast cells are a native context in which the individual and combined contributions of co-expressed CB1 and CB2 can be modelled [10,17]. We have previously shown that exposure of mast cells to cannabinoids that bind to both CB1 and CB2 cause a net suppression in the pro-inflammatory responses. However, the individual contributions of the two receptor isoforms in these cells have not been dissected.

The contribution of CB1 to mast cell biology has not been studied. In the present report, we explored CB1 signalling in this newly defined expression context. Since there is an intimate relationship between cAMP levels and the secretory status of mast cells, we explored CB1-mediated regulation of cAMP. Increased cAMP levels tend to suppress secretion in mast cells [18–20]. We hypothesized that CB1 may increase cAMP using a specific mechanism that is associated with certain G\(\alpha_i/o\)-coupled GPCRs (G-protein-coupled receptor) [17,21,22]. G\(\alpha_i/o\)-coupled GPCRs are conventionally regarded as inhibitory receptors, since they down-regulate AC (adenylate cyclase) activity and hence decrease intracellular cAMP [14,22,23]. However, several G\(\alpha_i/o\)-coupled GPCRs (e.g. opioid receptors) and CB1 (in an over-expression system) have been shown, counter-intuitively, to induce sustained AC activation [17,22–25], termed AC ‘superactivation’. This superactivation results in chronic up-regulation in cAMP, despite an initial G\(\alpha_i/o\)-coupled stimulus [24,26].

In the present study, we provide evidence that, although both CB1 and CB2 ligands induce marked acute decreases in cytosolic cAMP [27], the results of long-term stimulation of the two receptor isoforms are diametrically opposed. Over a 60–120 min time course, CB1 ligands cause a net increase, whereas CB2 ligands cause a net suppression, in cytosolic cAMP. These disparate effects on cAMP levels do not reflect coupling of CB1 and CB2 to stimulatory (G\(\alpha_s\)) and inhibitory (G\(\alpha_i\)) heterotrimeric G-proteins respectively. Rather, our results confirm that both receptor isoforms are G\(\alpha_i/o\) coupled in RBL2H3 mast cells. The CB1-induced chronic cAMP increase is AC-dependent in RBL2H3. We suggest that differential coupling of CB1 and CB2 to the AC isoforms that exhibit superactivation is responsible for their differing long-term effects on cAMP. We document the rapid transcriptional up-regulation of AC isoforms V and VI after CB1 receptor ligation, providing a potential superactivation mechanism. This increase in transcript levels is shown to specifically translate to an increase in the protein representation for the relevant AC isoenzymes in mast cells. Taken together, these results reveal complexity in the signalling of natively co-expressed cannabinoid receptors, and suggest that some anti-inflammatory effects of
CB1 ligands may be attributable to cAMP increase and hence suppression of mast cell secretion.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Cell lines and culture**

RBL2H3 mast cells were cultured in DMEM (Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium; Cambrex Bio Science, Walkersville, MD, U.S.A.) supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated FBS (fetal bovine serum; Cambrex Bio Science) with 2 mM l-glutamine in a 5% CO2/95% humidity/37°C atmosphere. Primary murine BMMCs (bone marrow-derived mast cells) was a gift from Dr A. Fleig (Queen’s Medical Center, Honolulu, HI, U.S.A.). BMMCs were expanded in media supplemented with recombinant interleukin-3 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.).

**Reagents and stimulations**

Cannabinoid compounds AM281, JWH015 and MA (methanandamide) were obtained from Tocecs Cookson (Ellisville, MO, U.S.A.). SR144528 [28] was obtained from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, U.S.A.). PTX (pertussis toxin) and FSK (forskolin) were obtained from Sigma. Cannabinoids were freshly dissolved for each experiment from concentrated stocks stored at room temperature (18–23°C). Primary murine BMMCs were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, U.S.A.). Antibodies to cannabinoid receptors and Grb2 were from Affinity Bioreagents (Golden, CO, U.S.A.). Antibodies to AC isoforms were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, U.S.A.).

**Human cell preparation and gene chip analysis**

Blood cell subsets were prepared as described in [29], and total RNA was prepared from 10⁶ cells. Human genome-wide gene expression was examined using the human genome U133A probe array (GeneChip; Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, U.S.A.), which contains the oligonucleotide probe set for 22,000 full-length genes. The expression level of single mRNAs was determined as the average fluorescence intensity among the intensities obtained by 11-paired (perfect-matched and single nucleotide-mismatched) probes. If the intensities of mismatched probes were very high, gene expression was judged to be absent, even if a high average fluorescence was obtained with the GeneChip Analysis Suite 5.0 program. The level of gene expression was determined as the AD (average difference) using the GeneChip software. Each AD level was then normalized by dividing it with the median value of 22,283 AD levels obtained in an experiment (‘normalized AD’ level).

**Western-blot analysis**

Cells were washed with PBS before lysis (ice-cold, 30 min) in 350 µl of lysis buffer (50 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 75 mM NaCl, 20 mM NaF, 10 mM iodoacetamide, 0.5%, w/v, Triton X-100 and 1 mM PMSF). After clarification (10,000 g, 5 min), lysates were acetone-precipitated at −20°C for 1 h, and the protein precipitate was harvested (13,000 g, 5 min). Samples were resolved by reducing SDS/PAGE in 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine and 0.05% (w/v) SDS (pH 8.8). Resolved proteins were electro-transferred on to a PVDF membrane. For Western blotting, membranes were blocked using 5% (w/v) non-fat milk [1 h at room temperature (18–23°C)]. Primary antibodies were dissolved in PBS/0.05% (v/v) Tween 20/0.05% NaN₃ and incubated with the membrane for 16 h at 4°C. Developing antibodies (anti-rabbit IgGs conjugated to horseradish peroxidase) were diluted to 0.1 µg/ml in PBS/0.05% Tween 20 and incubated with membranes for 45 min at room temperature. Standard washes (4 × 5 min in 50 ml of PBS/0.1% Tween 20 at room temperature) were performed between application of primary and secondary antibodies. Western blots were visualized using ECL® (enhanced chemiluminescence; Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, U.S.A.).

**Cell surface immunostaining and FACS**

Cannabinoid receptor antibodies (anti-CB1R and anti-CB2R from Affinity Bioreagents) raised to extracellular portions containing the first 77 or 32 N-terminal amino acids of each receptor respectively were used for cell surface immunostaining. RBL2H3 mast cells were fixed in 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde for 2 h at 4°C. The cells used for immunostaining were not permeabilized. Paraformaldehyde-fixed cells were blocked in 0.5% BSA for 20 min at room temperature, then incubated with a 1:100 dilution of either anti-CB1R or anti-CB2R in 0.5% FBS for 30 min at room temperature. Cells were rinsed twice in PBS and then exposed to AlexaFluor 488 conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, U.S.A.) in 0.5% FBS/PBS (room temperature, 30 min). Control cells were exposed to secondary antibody alone. Cells were rinsed twice in PBS after the secondary antibody exposure. FACS analysis was performed on a FACScan™ (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, U.S.A.).

**Direct cAMP assay**

Cells were stimulated as described above. Reactions were halted by cell lysis in 0.1 M HCl/0.5% Triton X-100 (37°C, 10 min). Cell lysates were centrifuged (room temperature, 10,000 g, 1 min) and cAMP levels were measured from these cell lysates using Correlate-EIA™ Direct cAMP assays (Assay Designs, Ann Arbor, MI, U.S.A.) [30]. Absorbance λ₄05 for each sample was converted to pmol CAMP, relative to a CAMP standard curve that was obtained for each run of the experiment. Data are presented as a percentage of the maximal attained FSK response from the internal positive control in each experiment.

**5-Hydroxytryptamine release assay**

BMMCs were incubated with 1 µCi/ml [³H]5-hydroxytryptamine (NEN Life Science Products, Boston, MA, U.S.A.) for 16 h at 37°C [31]. During this time period, the FcεRI receptors were primed with 1 µg/ml IgE anti-DNP. Monolayers were then washed once with Tyrode’s buffer (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mg/ml glucose and 1 mg/ml BSA) at 37°C and cells were incubated with the indicated stimuli or vehicle in 250 µl/cm² Tyrode’s buffer (37°C, 45 min). Reactions were quenched in ice-cold PBS and radioactivity was counted in liquid scintillation cocktail.

**RT–PCR (reverse transcriptase PCR)**

Poly(A)+ (polyadenylated) mRNA was isolated from RBL2H3 cells using an Oligotex Direct mRNA purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, U.S.A.). Two sets of isoform-specific primers were tested in RT–PCR for each of the nine characterized AC isoenzymes. RT–PCR reactions were performed three times at varying annealing temperatures to optimize the probability of obtaining products. Gel-purified RT–PCR products were sequenced.
Quantitative RT–PCR
Total RNA samples were prepared from adherent RBL2H3 mast cells using a Nucleospin RNA II kit (BD Biosciences-Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, U.S.A.). Total RNA samples were reverse transcribed using an Advantage RT-for-PCR kit (BD Biosciences-Clontech) and the resulting cDNA was analysed by real-time PCR, using AC isoform-specific primers. A single pool of first-strand material was used for all of the analyses shown. Real-time PCR reactions were performed with SYBR Green I chemistry on an iCycler machine (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, U.S.A.). Authenticity of the PCR products was verified by melting curve analysis. Quantities were calculated using a standard curve based on an external reference vector. This pGEM3ZF(+) amplicon was selected to have a similar amplicon size (158 bp) to the experimental primer pairs. RAB13 was used as an internal reference, but values were not normalized to RAB13 levels.

Analysis
Results are shown as the means ± S.D. Statistical significance was determined based on a two-way analysis of variance (Student’s t test). Adjacent to data points in the respective graphs, significant differences were recorded as follows: ∗P < 0.05; ∗∗P < 0.01; ∗∗∗P < 0.001; and no symbol, P > 0.05.

RESULTS
CB1 expression in primary and transformed mast cells
RBL2H3 and P815 mast cell lines express transcripts and protein for both CB1 and CB2 [10]. We questioned in this study whether CB1 and CB2 co-expression is a physiological feature of mast cell and/or basophil lineages. The extracted human RNA data in Figure 1(A) suggest that mRNA for both CB1 and CB2 is a feature of primary human mast cells and basophils. Other human haematopoietic lineages express comparable levels of CB1 mRNA, including erythrocytes, neutrophils and eosinophils. If confirmed at the protein level, these results indicate that CB1 and CB2 expressions may be widespread in human immune effector cells. Figure 1(B) suggests that CB1 and CB2 proteins are indeed present in primary murine BMMCs. Taken together, these results show that mast cells are probably a native co-expression context for CB1 and CB2. Moreover, we used a flow cytometry approach to show that the subcellular localization of cannabinoid receptors in mast cells is consistent with probable functionality. Figure 1(C) shows, using antisera raised against the extracellular portions of the receptors, that CB1, like CB2, localizes to the plasma membrane of intact (non-permeabilized) mast cells.

CB1 ligand stimulates CAMP production in mast cells
Co-expression of two native cannabinoid receptors within one cell type raises intriguing questions as to whether these receptors are likely to signal redundantly. Suppression of cysolic cAMP is a major consequence of cannabinoid exposure in neuronal and peripheral contexts [14,16,21]. We questioned how ligands that specifically activate either CB1 or CB2, regulate CAMP levels in mast cells. We used a competitive ELISA to measure cytosolic CAMP. In preliminary experiments, we established the validity of the assay in both RBL2H3 mast cells and BMMCs using FSK [32] and compound 48/80 [33], which caused marked increase and suppression respectively in cytosolic CAMP levels (results not shown).

We used an eicosanoid cannabinoid, MA, to probe CB1 effects on cAMP in mast cells. Figures 2(A) and 2(B) show that, when compared with vehicle effects, MA exposure causes a time- and dose-dependent reduction in intracellular cAMP. Adjacent to data points in the respective graphs, significant differences were determined based on a two-way analysis of variance (Student’s t test). MA exposure causes a time- and dose-dependent reduction in intracellular cAMP in RBL2H3. Adjacent to data points in the respective graphs, significant differences were determined based on a two-way analysis of variance (Student’s t test). MA exposure causes a time- and dose-dependent reduction in intracellular cAMP in RBL2H3.
of preapplied (10 min at 37 °C or 10 µM, CB2 population was obtained. Adherent BMMCs were incubated with 25 µM FSK or with the indicated concentrations of the CB1 agonist (MA) for 120 min at 37 °C. Baseline [cAMP] was 12.6 pmol/1 × 10⁶ cells, representing vehicle stimulated levels. Data are expressed as percentage of the maximal attained FSK response in the experiment (n = 5). (B) Dose–response analysis of cAMP responses to CB1-selective ligand in primary murine BMMCs. Primary BMMCs were prepared from mouse femurs and expanded in interleukin-3-containing media until a homogeneous mast cell population was obtained. BMMCs were incubated with 25 µM FSK, or with the indicated concentrations of the CB1 agonist (MA) for 120 min at 37 °C. Baseline [cAMP] was 1.9 pmol/10⁶ cells, representing vehicle stimulated levels. Data are represented as percentage of the maximal attained FSK response in the experiment (n = 3). (C) Receptor-specific antagonism of MA and JWH015-induced cAMP responses in mast cells. Primary BMMCs were prepared from mouse femurs and expanded in interleukin-3-containing media until a homogeneous mast cell population was obtained. Adherent BMMCs were incubated with 25 µM FSK, or with 10 µM MA or 10 µM JWH015. These stimulations were performed in the absence or in the presence of preapplied (10 min at 37 °C) AM281 (10 µM, CB1 antagonist) or SR144528 (10 µM, CB2 antagonist). Levels of cAMP at 120 min after stimulation were measured as described above (n = 3).

Figure 2 CB1 ligands increase intracellular cAMP levels in mast cells
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Figure 2(B) shows that, in contrast, CB1 ligation has the predicted consequence in mast cells. Application of the CB2 ligand JWH015 causes a marked suppression of cAMP levels. Figure 2(C) also shows that antagonists of CB1 and CB2 established that both CB2-mediated cAMP suppression and CB1-mediated cAMP increase are specifically achieved through the correct receptor–ligand interactions. The CB1 antagonist/ inverse agonist AM281 blocks MA-induced cAMP increase, whereas the CB2 antagonist SR144528 blocks JW-induced cAMP suppression. In control experiments (results not shown), we verified that the CB1 antagonist, AM281, had no effect on CB2-mediated cAMP responses. Conversely, we also verified that the CB2 antagonist, SR144528, did not affect CB1-mediated cAMP responses. These results indicate that CB2 ligands act as predicted in mast cells. However, the CB1-mediated increase in cAMP levels that occurs in mast cells is inconsistent with the established coupling of CB1 to Gαi/o G-proteins.

CB1-induced cAMP elevation in mast cells involves Gαi/o and does not involve PDE (phosphodiesterase) inhibition

As described above, Gαi/o-mediated signals are conventionally regarded as having a suppressive effect on cytosolic [cAMP]. Our results suggest that CB1 is coupled to stimulatory heterotrimeric G-proteins in RBL2H3, and that MA ligation to the CB1 receptor is therefore causing a direct enhancement of cAMP levels by Gαs activation of AC. We investigated this potential mechanism for MA effects on cytosolic [cAMP]. We used PTX, which inactivates Gαs, through ADP-ribosylation of the G-protein. Figure 3(A) demonstrates the effect of PTX on cannabinoid-induced cAMP signals in RBL2H3. In the present study, PTX has no effect on the ability of FSK to mobilize cAMP, reflecting the fact that FSK does not act through a heterotrimeric G-protein. In this experiment, we observed that 120 min exposure to MA causes an increase in cytosolic [cAMP], as described above. However, in cells preincubated with PTX, levels of cAMP were only slightly stimulated by MA. This effect of PTX suggests that the increase in cAMP that follows MA exposure is dependent on Gαi/o, and is therefore unlikely to reflect CB1 coupling to Gαs.

We hypothesized that the observed chronic increase in cAMP could be attributable to a CB1-mediated signal that causes inhibition of PDE-mediated cAMP degradation. We assayed the effect of complete blockade of PDE activity, using IBMX (isobutyl-methylxanthine) before MA application. Figure 3(B) shows that, although preincubation with IBMX does increase the basal cytosolic levels of cAMP before MA exposure (results not shown), the nature of the response to MA is unchanged. MA-induced increase in cAMP is therefore independent of the presence of IBMX. If MA causes PDE blockade, we would not predict that MA could generate this response in cells where the PDE is already effectively inactive. Taken together, the data presented in Figure 3 indicate that MA regulates cAMP through Gαi/o, and independently of IBMX-sensitive PDEs.

Supercactivation kinetics of CB1-mediated cAMP response in mast cells

The phenomenon of AC superactivation has been reported in several cell types following chronic exposure to agonists of Gαi/o-coupled GPCR [21,24,26,32]. In the present study, a diphasic regulation of cytosolic cAMP levels occurs, where an acute suppression is followed by chronic activation, or ‘overshoot’. Superactivation of AC has been suggested for CB1 receptors in a heterologous over-expression system [21], but it has not yet been demonstrated as a feature of a native CB1 expression system. We
Figure 3  CB1-mediated signalling requires Gαi/o

(A) CB1-mediated increase in cAMP levels is attributable to signalling through Gαi/o. Adherent RBL2H3 cells were preincubated with 100 ng/ml PTX, or vehicle, for 16 h at 37°C. Cells were then incubated with 20 µM MA (CB1-selective ligand), or 25 µM FSK (positive control) for 120 min at 37°C. cAMP levels were assayed as described above. Baseline cytosolic cAMP level in untreated control cells was 11.8 pmol/10⁵ cells (n = 2). (B) Preblockade of PDE activity using IBMX does not prevent MA-induced increase in cytosolic cAMP levels. Adherent RBL2H3 cells were preincubated with 0.5 µM IBMX, or vehicle, for 30 min at 37°C. Cells were then incubated with 20 µM MA for 0, 5 and 120 min at 37°C. cAMP levels were assayed as described in the Experimental section (n = 2).

Figure 4  CB1-mediated cAMP response in mast cells exhibits adenylate cyclase superactivation kinetics

(A) Acute versus chronic effects of CB1 ligand on cytosolic cAMP levels in RBL2H3. Adherent RBL2H3 cells were incubated with 25 µM FSK, 20 µM MA and vehicle for 5, 10 or 120 min at 37°C. cAMP levels were assayed as above. Baseline cAMP level in untreated cells was 12.8 pmol/10⁵ cells (n = 5). (B) Persistent ligand application is required for stimulation of cAMP levels in response to MA. Adherent RBL2H3 cells were incubated with 20 µM MA for 0, 5, 30 or 120 min at 37°C and assayed as described above (black line) or with 25 µM FSK (grey line). Alternatively, RBL2H3 cells were incubated with 20 µM MA for 0, 5, 30 or 120 min, then washed three times with culture media and incubated in the fresh media for 120 min before lysis for the cAMP assay (black line). cAMP levels were assayed as described in the Experimental section. Baseline cAMP level in untreated cells was 10.67 pmol/1 × 10⁴ cells (n = 2).

asked whether CB1/MA-induced cAMP increase in mast cells should be attributed to AC superactivation. Figures 4(A) and 4(B) show that the CB1-induced cAMP response in mast cells meets three key criteria for classification as a superactivation response [21,24,26,32].

First, early and late kinetics of CB1-induced cAMP responses are markedly different. Figure 4(A) shows that exposure to MA initially causes a sharp decrease in cAMP levels (5–10 min) that is completely inverted by 120 min. We also noted that both the suppressive and increased phases of the cAMP response to MA are PTX-sensitive (Figure 3 and results not shown), strongly implicating Gαi/o in their development. The second feature of superactivation responses to Gαi/o-coupled GPCR is their extended time course. We have shown that cAMP levels remain high up to 18 h after exposure to MA, but not JHW015. At 18 h after exposure to MA, RBL2H3 cAMP levels remained at 60% of the maximal FSK response, which is significantly (P < 0.01) greater than the response in vehicle-treated cells (results not shown). Thirdly, AC superactivation has been shown to depend on the continuous presence of the Gαi/o receptor ligand [21]. The present study also attempted to determine whether the withdrawal of ligand prevents the chronic increase in cAMP levels. We found (Figure 4B) that MA must be consistently present for a sustained increase in cytosolic [cAMP] to be achieved over a 120 min period.

Representation and transcriptional regulation of superactivatable AC isoforms in mast cells

Our results suggest that co-expressed CB1 and CB2 both couple to Gαi/o in RBL2H3. At short time points, ligation of either CB1 or CB2 leads to a suppression of cAMP levels. However, over a longer exposure time course, the cAMP responses that follow ligation of the two receptors are diametrically opposed. These results allow us to draw two conclusions. First, the results imply that CB1 and CB2 receptors are not redundant when co-expressed in mast cells. Secondly, the results suggest that the two receptors couple to distinct signalling pathways that diverge downstream of the Gαi/o proteins to which they are both coupled. Since superactivation is not a universal feature of AC isoenzymes [21,24,26,32], we can propose that differential coupling to distinct AC isoenzymes may form the mechanistic basis for the distinctive long-term signalling of CB1 and CB2.

This differential coupling may operate at two levels. First, differential post-translational modification (e.g. phosphorylation
of AC isoforms) may contribute to their propensity for superactivation. Secondly, the lengthy kinetics of superactivation is consistent with the possible transcriptional/translational up-regulation of AC isoforms that are associated with superactivation.

We examined the representation of AC isoenzym transcripts in RBL2H3 using diagnostic RT–PCR, and we quantified their relative amounts using quantitative (real-time) RT-PCR. Figure 5(A) shows that transcripts corresponding to AC isoforms ACIV, ACV, ACVI and ACVII are detectable in RBL2H3. Under resting conditions, each AC isoenzyme transcript is present at a different level (Figure 5A), with the order of abundance being ACV > ACVII > ACIV > ACVI. These results suggest that mast cells may express protein for the superactivatable AC isoenzymes ACV and ACVI [21,24,26,32]. In addition, proteins for AC isoenzymes ACIV and ACVII, which do not exhibit superactivation, are also likely to be present in mast cells. Thus, in RBL2H3 mast cells, there is sufficient diversity in the representation of AC isoforms to allow differential coupling of CB1 and CB2 receptors to superactivatable and non-superactivatable AC isoenzymes respectively.

We compared AC isoform transcript levels between resting mast cells and cells exposed to either CB1 or CB2 ligand. These experiments used quantitative PCR and the results are shown in Figure 5(B). Figure 5(B) shows the effect of exposure of MA, the CB1 ligand, on AC isoenzym transcript levels in RBL2H3 mast cells. In the present study, we observe significant up-regulation of transcript levels for the two superactivatable isoenzymes ACV and ACVII, over a 2 h time period. In contrast, exposure to the CB2 ligand JWH015 induces significant regulation of only ACVII, which is not documented to display superactivation. Hence, CB1, but not CB2, ligands apparently cause specific transcriptional up-regulation of superactivatable AC isoenzymes. We proposed that, if translated to the protein level, this up-regulation may account for the sustained increase in cAMP levels that is observed after CB1 treatment of mast cells.

As shown in Figure 5(C), we examined the levels of AC isoenzym protein in RBL2H3 mast cells following treatment with either MA or JWH015. Mast cells were exposed to either MA or JWH015, or vehicle, for 18 h at 37°C. Cell lysates were prepared and protein levels were normalized using a Bradford assay. As an assessment of this normalization, membranes were probed with an irrelevant antibody directed against the Grb2 adapter protein, which confirms equivalent loading in each lane (Figure 5C, lower panels). The upper panels of Figure 5(C) show the levels of AC isoenzymes ACIV, ACV, ACVI and ACVII. ACIV protein levels appear unaffected by cannabinoid treatment (upper left panel), whereas ACVII levels (upper right panel) may decrease slightly with JWH015 exposure. Interestingly, ACV levels (predicted molecular mass 129 kDa) increase markedly with MA treatment but are unaffected by exposure to JWH015. The ACV antibody does cross-react with ACVII, which would be used. The identity of these products was confirmed by excision of the product, gel purification, sequencing and BLAST alignment (results not shown; n = 3). (B) Cannabinoid induced changes in mRNA of AC isoforms in RBL2H3. Adherent RBL2H3 were exposed to 10 µM MA and 10 µM JWH015 for 0, 0.5 and 2 h at 37°C. Quantitative RT–PCR was performed and reported as above for each of the indicated AC isoforms and RAB13 (n = 2). (C) Cannabinoid induced changes in protein levels of AC isoforms in RBL2H3. Adherent RBL2H3 were exposed to either vehicle or 10 µM MA or 10 µM JWH015 for 16 h at 37°C. Cell lysates were acetone precipitated to recover total protein, and samples were normalized after Bradford protein determination assay (Bio-Rad). Protein samples were resolved by SDS/PAGE and Western blotted using 1 µg/ml of the indicated antibody. Predicted molecular mass for rat AC isoforms are as follows: ACIV, 119 kDa; ACV, 139 kDa; ACVI, 212 kDa; and ACVII, 121 kDa. Note that the available ACV antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) is known to cross-react with ACVI, the latter may be present as the upper band in this Western blot. Anti-Grb2 Western blots are presented as loading controls (n = 2).
Cannabinoid signalling in mast cells

Sustained cAMP elevation suppresses secretion responses in mast cells

(A) CB1 mediated suppression of mast cell degranulation. BMMCs were plated at a density of 1 x 10^3 cells/cm^2 and loaded with [3H]-5-hydroxytryptamine. All cells were primed with 1 µg/ml IgE anti-DNP for 16 h before the start of the experiment. Cells were then exposed to the indicated stimuli for 60 min. IgE/DNP stimulation comprises antigenic cross-linking of the FcεRI using 200 ng/ml DNP/BSA. MA (at the indicated doses) was applied for 45 min before the addition of DNP/BSA. After 60 min at 37°C, supernatants were harvested and the secreted 5-hydroxytryptamine levels were assayed by liquid scintillation counting. Data are expressed as c.p.m./3.7 × 10^5 cells/cm^2. (B) FSK treatment suppresses mast cell degranulation. BMMCs were plated at a density of 1 x 10^3 cells/cm^2 and loaded with [3H]-5-hydroxytryptamine. All cells were primed with 1 µg/ml IgE anti-DNP for 16 h before the start of the experiment. Cells were then exposed to the indicated stimuli for 60 min. IgE/DNP stimulation comprises antigenic cross-linking of the FcεRI using 200 ng/ml DNP/BSA. PI (1 x 10^5 cells/cm^2) treatment to elicit maximal secretion. FSK (25 µM) was applied for 45 min before the addition of DNP/BSA. After 60 min at 37°C, supernatants were harvested and secreted 5-hydroxytryptamine levels were assayed by liquid scintillation counting. Data are expressed as c.p.m./3.7 × 10^5 BMMCs (n = 2).

Predicted to migrate at approx. 212 kDa. These results suggest that the CB1-mediated up-regulation in transcript levels for the superactivatable AC isoforms ACV and VI does result in increased protein levels for these enzymes.

Sustained cAMP elevation is functionally relevant in mast cells

Our results suggest that the sustained up-regulation of cAMP levels is a major consequence of CB1 ligand exposure in mast cells, and that this up-regulation may be attributable to CB1-induced up-regulation of certain AC isoforms. We speculated whether CB1-induced cAMP increase has functional consequences for mast cell activation.

Degranulation of preformed inflammatory mediators is a major consequence of mast cell activation [1,4,34]. Secretory events are antagonized by high levels of cytosolic cAMP [18,19], and we have shown that exposure to a CB1/CB2 co-agonist, CP55940, suppresses mast cell degranulation [10]. We measured the accumulated amount of a degranulation marker in the extracellular milieu after 1 h stimulation of BMMCs through the FcεRI immunoreceptor. This stimulation was performed in the absence and presence of the CB1 ligand MA. Figure 6(A) shows that the presence of the CB1 ligand dominantly interferes with the FcεRI-induced secretory response in BMMCs. Interestingly, the secretory response is apparently highly sensitive to MA, with doses as low as 100 nM, which cause a small but significant (P < 0.05) rise in cAMP (see Figure 2B), resulting in a marked suppression in 5-hydroxytryptamine release. We questioned whether cAMP increase was sufficient to block FcεRI-induced degranulation. Figure 6(B) shows that treatment with FSK also blocks secretory responses initiated by FcεRI cross-linking. These results suggest that the sustained effects of CB1 ligands on cAMP levels are sufficient to suppress mast cell secretory responses.

DISCUSSION

Cannabinoids exert diverse physiological effects on cells of the CNS, peripheral tissues and components of the immune system. In certain cells, such as keratinocytes, adipocytes, microglia, dendritic cells and lymphocytes, added complexity in cannabinoid signalling apparently arises from the co-expression of two separate receptors for cannabinoid compounds [6,15,16,35–37]. In the present study, we demonstrate that primary and immortalized mast cells both express a native co-expression context for CB1 and CB2 cannabinoid receptors [10]. In this cell system, CB1 and CB2 are not functionally redundant.

Broadly speaking, cannabinoids are considered to be immuno-suppressive [6,8]. In the context of the pro-inflammatory function of mast cells, cannabinoids act as potential anti-inflammatory agents [38,39]. Application of CP55940 or MA suppresses 5-hydroxytryptamine release, leukotriene C4 production and tryptase release ([10]; A. L. Small-Howard and H. Turner, unpublished work) when these are induced by ligation of the FcεRI immunoreceptor. Taken together, these results indicate that exposure to cannabinoids would markedly suppress the acute, proinflammatory functions of mast cells. This suppressive effect is apparently limited to CB1-selective ligands, such as MA, and CB1/CB2 co-ligands. Ablation of CB1 signalling using the antagonist/inverse agonist AM281 prevented the suppression of secretion by CB1/CB2 co-ligands [10]. We proposed that, since increases in cytosolic cAMP have been described to prevent mast cell secretion [18,19], the mechanism for CB1-mediated suppression of secretion could be by a CB1-induced elevation in cAMP levels.

Results of the present study are consistent with this model.

Our results support a model in RBL2H3, where ligation of CB1, but not CB2, leads to superactivation of AC. Numerous studies of Goαi,βγ-coupled GPCR, including the opioid receptors, and heterologously expressed CB1 and CB2, have shown a paradoxical increase in cAMP levels following chronic receptor ligation [21,24,26,32]. This increase in cAMP has been attributed to AC ‘superactivation’, and has been shown, importantly, to be PDE-independent using inhibitors such as IBMX. Thus, the process of superactivation seems to be intrinsic to AC itself. In the present study, we provide two novel findings. First, we show that the phenomenon of superactivation follows ligand binding to CB1 in a native expression system (rather than a heterologous over-expression system). Secondly, we show superactivation of AC within an immune system cell type.

The mechanistic aspects of AC superactivation are not well defined. Not all AC isoforms exhibit superactivation [24,26,40], but it is not clear whether superactivation represents an increase in the activity of existing AC enzymes, or whether it reflects de novo synthesis of AC. Our present results are consistent with the latter model. We show that CB ligands cause rapid (30 min) transcriptional up-regulation of superactivatable AC isoforms ACV and ACVI. To attribute the superactivation phenomenon to this up-regulation, it was necessary to show that synthesis and trafficking of new AC protein is completed within
a time period that would allow it to contribute to a chronic (2–18 h) enhancement of cAMP levels. Our results suggest that the superactivatable ACV isoform, and possibly ACV1, is indeed up-regulated at the protein level following CB1 ligand application. Future studies will define the exact relationship between the magnitude of increase in cellular AC levels and the kinetics/magnitude of cAMP responses. Transcriptional up-regulation is unlikely to be the sole mechanistic basis for AC superactivation, in view of reports that ACV1 phosphorylation is kinetically consistent with superactivation, and that ACV1 superactivation is sensitive to protein kinase inhibitors [41]. ACV1 phosphorylation may result in increased activity of the existing enzyme, whereas up-regulation in AC levels proceeds concurrently to allow for chronic increase in cAMP. Both of these mechanisms may contribute, with sequential kinetics, to an increase in cAMP, which we suggest suppresses secretory responses in mast cells.

Cannabinoids clearly exert marked regulatory effects on mast cell function. The model developed by us suggests that CB1 ligation (for example through exposure to the endo-cannabinoid anandamide or the marijuana constituent Δ9-THC) would tend to suppress the responses of ongoing mast cell activation. Recent reports suggesting that various parasites produce CB1-binding endo-cannabinoids are intriguing in view of the centrality of FceRI/mast cell responses to anti-parasite inflammatory responses [6,9,42–44]. CB2 ligands, which cause a chronic suppression in cytosolic cAMP levels, as well as extracellular-signal-regulated kinase and AKT (α-murine thymoma virus oncogene homologue) activation [10] in mast cells, apparently do not affect secretion but do induce a significant transcriptional programme (A. L. Small-Howard and H. Turner, unpublished work). We are yet to determine a clear picture of the overall consequences of CB2 ligation for mast cell function and hence inflammation.

Our results show that the functional consequences of mast cell exposure to cannabinoids depend on the receptor selectivity of the applied ligand, and, critically, on the time course of exposure. CB2 ligation results in sustained suppression in cAMP levels. In contrast, long-term exposure to CB1 ligands reverses their acute effects, resulting in sustained increases in cytosolic cAMP. In the CNS, chronic cAMP mobilization is proposed as a key tolerization mechanism to long-term cannabinoid, or opioid, exposure [21,24,26]. Tolerance arises from the fact that chronic increments in cAMP oppose the acute suppressive effects of continued agonist binding to GPCR. AC superactivation is thought to contribute to the neurochemical and behavioural alterations that result from extended cannabinoid, or opioid, exposure [45]. Our results suggest that a parallel mechanism for cAMP compensation, tolerance and eventual hyper-elevation is present in immune system cells that bear receptors for cannabinoids. The corresponding long-term phenotypic changes in the immune system, that result from chronic cannabinoid exposure, remain to be elucidated.
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